



There is a system of Morality we aspire to

Fundamentalist interpretation

No true morality

Counterexample: disabilities

Don't have to sign up To the moral system to Be included

Morality is a social Agreement: animals aren't moral to us

Benefit of the doubt

Just provides power

Planning

Language

Birds, apes, dolphins, whales, Fish, etc examples of language And cultural knowledge

Timed deaths create Distorted social structure

Animals still pay a cost

Some discomfort/confusion inevitable

We gain from eating meat

No longer a competitive advantage

We gain quality of life

"Selfish morality" that Is not moral

Animals have No power to Force us to behave morally

Animals are included In the system

Toolmaking

We are superior To animals

Culture

Language

Humans programmed Too: e.g. sex drive

Animal behaviour Programmed e.g. beavers Building dams

Quantitative difference Removes animals from Moral system

There is a quantitative Difference between humans And animals

We should not kill And eat animals

Humane exploitation Is acceptable

Is our gain worth their cost?

Benefit of the doubt

Animals feel pain And suffering

Suffering is in The system

Animals have no souls

Religious texts support Animal exploitation

Texts provide a moral framework

Context of Ancient Near East justified exploitation At the time

Animals don't have emotions

Scientific evidence For sense of self

Clear emotions e.g. fear, parental care

Animals look Like they have emotions

Appearance does not Imply real feeling

Benefit of the doubt

Emotions in animals Evolved as in humans

Robots constructed To look emotional

Example: Robots